Proposed Subdivision Brush Creek Estate -Precinct 2, Stage 6A Site Classification

Transfield Avenue, Edgeworth

NEW18P-0170C-AB.Rev1 10 March 2021



GEOTECHNICAL I LABORATORY I EARTHWORKS I QUARRY I CONSTRUCTION MATERIAL TESTING

10 March 2021

McCloy Edgeworth Pty Ltd Suite 2, Ground Floor, 317 Hunter Street NEWCASTLE NSW 2300

Attention: Mr Jon Hines

Dear Sir

RE: PROPOSED SUBDIVISION - BRUSH CREEK ESTATE – PRECINCT 2, STAGE 6A TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH SITE CLASSIFICATION TO AS2870-2011

Please find enclosed our Geotechnical Assessment report for Lots 601 to 616 within Precinct 2, Stage 6A of the Brush Creek Estate, located off Transfield Avenue, Edgeworth.

The report includes recommendations on site classification in accordance with AS2870-2011, 'Residential Slabs and Footings' for Stage 6A, (lots 601 to 616).

Qualtest previously provided a geotechnical report for the site, (ref. NEW18P-0170C-AB, dated 24 February 2021). Due to split staging of Stage 6, lot numbers have subsequently been amended. Therefore, this revised report is now provided for the amended lot numbering as advised by the client and shown on the survey plans provided.

If you have any questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact Ben Edwards, Shannon Kelly or the undersigned.

For and on behalf of Qualtest Laboratory (NSW) Pty Ltd

the les

Jason Lee Principal Geotechnical Engineer

Table of Contents:

1.0		Introduction1
2.0		Desktop Study1
3.0		Field Work1
4.0		Site Description2
	4.1	Site Regrade Works2
	4.2	Surface Conditions3
	4.3	Subsurface Conditions4
5.0		Laboratory Testing8
6.0		Site Classification to AS2870-20119
7.0		Limitations11

Attachments:

Figure AB1:	Site Plan and Approximate Test Locations
Survey Plans:	Plan of Subdivision of Lot 500 D.P. 1271663 (Sheet No: 2 & 3)
Appendix A:	Results of Field Investigations
Appendix B:	Results of Laboratory Testing
Appendix C:	CSIRO Sheet BTF 18

1.0 Introduction

Qualtest Laboratory NSW Pty Ltd (Qualtest) is pleased to present this geotechnical report on behalf of McCloy Edgeworth Pty Ltd (McCloy), for Precinct 2, Stage 6A, of the Brush Creek Estate, located off Transfield Avenue, Edgeworth.

Based on the brief and drawings provided by the client, Stage 6A is understood to comprise of sixteen residential allotments (Lots 601 to 616), as shown on the attached survey plans titled 'Plan of Subdivision of Lot 500 D.P. 1271663' provided by McCloy.

The scope of work for the geotechnical investigation included providing site classification with respect to reactive soils, in accordance with the requirements of AS2870-2011 'Residential Slabs and Footings', following completion of site regrade works.

This report presents the results of the field work investigations and laboratory testing, and provides recommendations for the scope outlined above.

2.0 Desktop Study

The scope of work has included a review of the following reports completed by Qualtest:

- Geotechnical Assessment, 'Proposed Subdivision, Brush Creek Estate Precinct 2, Stage 5, Transfield Avenue, Edgeworth, (Report Reference: NEW18P-0170B-AC.Rev1, dated 1 February 2021);
- Geotechnical Assessment, 'Proposed Subdivision, Brush Creek Estate Precinct 2, Transfield Avenue, Edgeworth, (Report Reference: NEW18P-0170A-AA.Rev1, dated 4 March 2020);
- Geotechnical Investigation, 'Proposed Edgeworth Gravity Sewer Main' Patterson Street to Minmi Road, Edgeworth, (Report Reference: NEW18P-0076-AB, dated 19 June 2018).
- Level 1 Site Regrade Assessment Report, 'Proposed Subdivision of Brush Creek Estate Stage 6A, Edgeworth, (Report Reference: NEW20P-0093A-AA, dated 4 December 2020).

This report includes a summary of selected results from the previous reports. Reference should be made to the reports outlined above for further details of site description, subsurface conditions, field work conducted, engineering logs of test pits / boreholes, laboratory testing results, site supervision and density testing carried out.

3.0 Field Work

Field work investigations was carried out on 8 February 2021 and comprised of:

- DBYD search, review of plans, and visual check of proposed test locations for the presence of underground services;
- Site walkover to make observations of surface features at the property and in the immediate surrounding area;
- Excavation of 16 boreholes (BH601 to BH605, BH610, BH611, BH614 to BH616, BH619 to BH622, BH624 and BH625) using a 2.7 tonne excavator equipped with a 300mm diameter auger attachment. Boreholes were terminated at depths of between 0.46m and 2.60m;
- Undisturbed samples (U50 tubes) and small bag samples were taken for subsequent laboratory testing; and,

• Boreholes were backfilled with the excavation spoil and compacted using the excavator auger and tracks.

Investigations were carried out by an experienced Geotechnical Engineer from Qualtest who located the boreholes, carried out the sampling and testing, produced field logs of the boreholes, and made observations of the site surface conditions.

Engineering logs of the boreholes are presented in Appendix A.

Approximate borehole locations are shown on the attached Figure AB1. Boreholes were located in the field by handheld GPS and relative to existing site features including topographic features, lot boundaries, existing developments and trees.

4.0 Site Description

4.1 Site Regrade Works

Site re-grading for Stage 6A bulk earthworks was conducted between 8 October 2020 and 4 November 2020.

Re-grading works consisted of the removal of unsuitable materials, blending of Colluvium materials with site won Residual and stockpiled materials, along with cutting and filling activities to bring proposed residential lots within Stage 6A to design finish levels.

Re-grade works performed during the current Stage 6A bulk earthworks included filling within all or portions of Lots 604, 605, 616, 624 and 625. Regrade works within these lots consisted predominantly of the placement of fill to raise site levels for future home sites, current and future site service infrastructure, and proposed retaining wall structures.

Refer to attached Figure AB1 for the approximate extent of lot filling works for this stage of the development.

Prior to filling, re-grade areas were stripped of all topsoil and unsuitable material to expose the suitable natural foundation profile. Re-grade works then consisted of filling with approved site fill to design finish levels.

Filling was performed using site stockpiled material won from excavations cut and blended from around the site. The fill material could generally be described as mixtures of Residual (CI-CH) Gravelly Sandy CLAY and Extremely Weathered (EW) Siltstone / Sandstone, medium to high plasticity, brown / yellow / orange in colour, with fine to coarse grained sand and gravel, which was blended with a pale to dark brown Silty SAND (Colluvium).

The depth of fill placed ranged in the order of 0.1m to about 2.4m, with the following approximate maximum depths within each lot area outlined below:

- Lot 604 (previously lot 604) 0.3m;
- Lot 605 (previously lot 605) 1.2m;
- Lot 609 (previously lot 616) 0.3m;
- Lot 615 (previously lot 625) 2.1m;
- Lot 616 (previously lot 624) 0.9m.

The fill was compacted in maximum lifts of 0.3m thickness. Any unsuitable or deleterious material within the fill was removed by hand or mechanical means prior to final compaction of the material.

Refer to site regrade letter (Qualtest Report Reference: NEW19P-0093A-AA, dated 4 December 2020) for full details including the approximate limit of filling works for this stage of the project.

As the geotechnical testing authority engaged for the project, we state that the re-grading works performed within Stage 6A (as shown on attached Figure AB1), was carried out to Level 1 criteria as defined in Clause 8.2 – Section 8, of AS3798-2007, "Guidelines on Earthworks for Commercial and Residential Developments".

The recommendations of this report are based on the understanding that any existing lot re-grade works are limited to the controlled earthworks supervised by Qualtest, and placement of low reactivity topsoil material such that total depth of topsoil and uncontrolled fill does not exceed 0.4m. Qualtest should be informed without delay if additional earthworks are known to have been carried out.

4.2 Surface Conditions

The site comprises Precinct 2, Stage 6A of the proposed residential subdivision known as Brush Creek Estate, located off Transfield Avenue, Edgeworth, as shown on Figure AB1 attached.

The site is bounded to the east by Stage 5 and dense bushland, to the north by Future Stage 6B (under construction), to the west by Road 09 and dense bushland, and to the south by recently constructed sediment basin, an existing creek (Brush Creek) and Watalong Way.

Trafficability was judged to be good by way of 4WD vehicle along the existing sealed roads.

Photographs of the site taken on the day of the site investigations are shown below.



Photograph 1: From near BH624, facing northwest.



Photograph 2: From near BH624, facing north.



Photograph 3: From near BH619, facing east.



Photograph 4: From near BH619, facing southeast.



Photograph 5: From near northern boundary of Lot 604, facing northeast.



Photograph 6: From near northern boundary of Lot 604, facing south. Showing excavator at BH604 location.



Photograph 7: From near BH601, facing north.



Photograph 8: From near BH601, facing northeast.

4.3 Subsurface Conditions

Reference to the 1:100,000 Newcastle Coalfield Regional Geology Series Sheet 9231 indicates the site to be underlain by the Adamstown Subgroup (majority of site) of the Newcastle Coal Measures, which are characterised by Conglomerate, Sandstone, Siltstone, Coal and Tuff rock types. The southwestern portion of Stage 6A associated with Brush Creek is indicated to be underlain by Quaternary aged Alluvial soils comprising mixtures of Gravel, Sand, Silt and Clay.

Table 1 presents a summary of the typical soil and rock types encountered at borehole locations during the field investigation, divided into representative geotechnical units.

Table 2 contains a summary of the distribution of the geotechnical units at the borehole locations.

TABLE 1 – SUMMARY OF GEOTECHNICAL UNITS AND SOIL / ROCK TYPES

Unit	Soil Type	Description
1A	FILL – TREE MULCH	TREE MULCH
1B	FILL – TOPSOIL	Gravelly Sandy CLAY – low plasticity, dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to medium grained angular to sub-angular gravel. Tree mulch overlying Fill-Topsoil in places.
1C	FILL – CONTROLLED	Gravelly Sandy CLAY – low to medium plasticity, pale orange to orange and pale grey to grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to coarse grained (mostly fine to medium grained) angular gravel. CLAY – medium to high plasticity, pale grey and red-brown.
	CONTROLLED	Clayey Sandy GRAVEL – fine to medium grained, angular to sub- rounded, pale orange and grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fines of low to medium plasticity.
2	SLOPEWASH / COLLUVIUM	Sandy CLAY / Gravelly CLAY / Clayey GRAVEL – low to medium plasticity, dark grey, fine to medium grained sand, with fine to medium grained sub-rounded to sub-angular gravel.
3	ALLUVIUM	Not Encountered in boreholes during current investigation.
		Sandy CLAY / CLAY – medium to high plasticity, pale grey and pale orange to orange, fine to coarse grained sand, trace fine to medium grained angular gravel.
4	RESIDUAL SOIL	Sandy CLAY / Clayey SAND – low to medium plasticity, pale orange with pale grey, fine to coarse grained sand, with fine to medium angular gravel.
		Gravelly Sandy CLAY – medium plasticity, pale orange and grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to medium grained angular gravel.
5	EXTREMELY WEATHERED (XW) ROCK with soil properties	Sandy Siltstone; breaks down into Gravelly Sandy CLAY – low to medium plasticity, pale grey and pale orange, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to medium grained angular to sub-rounded gravel.
6	HIGHLY WEATHERED (HW) ROCK	Sandy SILTSTONE – pale grey and pale orange to orange, generally estimated very low to medium strength (mostly low to medium strength). Extremely weathered pockets in places.

Location	Unit 1A FILL: TREE MULCH	UNIT 1B FILL: TOPSOIL	UNIT 1C FILL - CONTROLLED	UNIT 2 SLOPEWASH / COLLUVIUM	Unit 3 ALLIUVIUM	Unit 4 RESIDIAL SOIL	Unit 5 XW ROCK	Unit 6 HW ROCK					
	Depth (m)												
			Current In	vestigation (Febru									
BH601	0.00 - 0.05	0.05 - 0.20	-	-	_	0.20 - 1.00	1.00 - 1.20	1.20 - 1.22*					
BH602	0.00 - 0.02	0.02 - 0.22	_	-	_	0.22 - 0.60	_	0.60 - 0.62*					
BH603	0.00 - 0.05	0.05 – 0.20	_	-	_	0.20 - 0.90	-	0.90 - 0.92*					
BH604	-	0.00 - 0.20	0.20 – 0.55	-	-	0.55 – 0.75	-	0.75 – 0.76*					
BH605	0.00 - 0.05	0.05 – 0.20	0.20 – 1.20	-	-	-	-	1.20 - 1.22*					
BH610	0.00 - 0.02	0.02 - 0.15	-	-	-	0.15 – 0.45	-	0.45 - 0.46*					
BH611	0.00 - 0.10	0.10 - 0.30	-	-	-	0.30 – 0.55	-	0.55 – 0.56*					
BH614	0.00 – 0.05	0.05 – 0.25	-	-	-	0.25 – 0.50	-	0.50 - 0.51*					
BH615	0.00 - 0.05	0.05 – 0.25	-	-	-	0.25 – 0.55	-	0.55 – 0.56*					
BH616	-	0.00 - 0.20	-	-	-	0.20 – 0.55	-	0.55 – 0.56*					
BH619	0.00 - 0.05	0.05 - 0.24	-	-	-	0.24 – 0.55	-	0.55 – 0.56*					
BH620	-	0.00 - 0.12	-	-	-	0.12 - 0.80	-	0.80 - 0.82*					
BH621	0.00 - 0.10	0.10 - 0.20	-	-	-	0.20 – 0.50	-	0.50 - 0.52*					
BH622	-	0.00 - 0.20	-	-	-	0.20 – 0.50	-	0.50 - 0.60*					
BH624	-	0.00 - 0.20	0.20 – 0.90	0.90 - 1.40	-	1.40 - 2.00	-	-					
BH625	-	0.00 - 0.20	0.20 – 1.80	1.80 – 2.50	-	2.50 - 2.60	-	-					
		Previous I	nvestigation (Ref	: NEW18P-0170B-	AC.Rev1, 1 Febr	uary 2021)							
BH505	0.00 - 0.10	0.10 - 0.30	0.30 – 1.80	-	-	1.80 - 2.00	-	-					
BH516	0.00 - 0.10	0.10 - 0.20	-	-	-	0.20 - 0.60	-	0.60 - 0.62*					
BH519	0.00 - 0.10	0.10 - 0.30	-	-	-	-	0.30 - 0.40	0.40 - 0.45*					

TABLE 2 – SUMMARY OF GEOTECHNICAL UNITS ENCOUNTERED AT BOREHOLE LOCATIONS

.00 - 0.10 .00 - 0.10 .00 - 0.10 .00 - 0.10 .00 - 0.10	0.10 - 0.25 0.10 - 0.20 0.10 - 0.20 0.10 - 0.20	- 0.20 - 0.80 0.20 - 0.40	Depti - - -	ו (m) - -	0.25 – 0.40 0.80 – 1.80*	-	0.40 - 0.42*
.00 - 0.10 .00 - 0.10 .00 - 0.10	0.10 - 0.20 0.10 - 0.20 0.10 - 0.20	0.20 - 0.40		-		-	0.40 - 0.42*
.00 – 0.10 .00 – 0.10	0.10 - 0.20 0.10 - 0.20	0.20 - 0.40	-	-	0.80 - 1.80*		
.00 – 0.10	0.10 - 0.20		-			-	-
				-	0.40 - 1.30	-	1.30 – 1.35*
.00 – 0.10		0.20 – 0.60	-	-	0.60 – 1.90	-	1.90 - 2.00*
	0.10 – 0.30	-	-	-	0.30 – 1.50*	-	-
Previo	us Investigation (Ref: NEW18P-017	0AA-AA.Rev1, 4 M	March 2020) – Pr	ior to site regrade	works	
	0.00 - 0.30	-	0.30 - 0.70	-	0.70 - 2.50	-	-
	0.00 - 0.10	-	0.10 - 0.40	-	0.40 - 2.50	-	-
-	0.00 - 0.25	-	-	-	0.25 - 0.70	0.70 - 0.95	0.95 - 1.05#
-	0.00 - 0.20	-	0.20 - 0.40	-	0.40 - 0.65	-	0.65 - 1.00#
-	0.00 - 0.20	-	0.20 - 0.40	-	0.40 - 0.60	0.60 – 0.70	0.70 – 0.80‡
-	0.00 - 0.30	-	-	-	0.30 - 0.60	-	0.60 - 0.85‡
-	0.00 - 0.20	-	0.20 - 0.40	-	-	0.40 - 1.00	1.00 - 1.30#
Pr	evious Investigat	tion (Ref: NEW18P	-0076-AB, 19 Jun	e 2018) – Prior to	site regrade work	(S	
-	0.00 - 0.20	_	0.20 – 0.50	-	0.50 – 1.10	-	1.10*
-	0.00 – 0.25	-	0.25 – 0.45	0.45 – 0.80	0.80 - 0.90	-	0.90 - 1.00
	- - Pr - - -	0.00 - 0.10 - 0.00 - 0.25 - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.00 - 0.30 - 0.00 - 0.20 Previous Investigat - 0.00 - 0.20 Previous Investigat - 0.00 - 0.20 Previous Investigat - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.00 - 0.20 Previous Investigat	0.00 - 0.10 - - 0.00 - 0.25 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - - 0.00 - 0.30 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - Previous Investigation (Ref: NEW18P - - 0.00 - 0.20 - - 0.00 - 0.25 - - 0.00 - 0.25 -	0.00 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.40 - 0.00 - 0.25 - - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 Previous Investigation (Ref: NEW18P-0076-AB, 19 Jung - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.50 - 0.00 - 0.25 - 0.25 - 0.45 Practical refusal to refusal of 2.7 tonne excavator with V-bit a	0.00 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.40 - - 0.00 - 0.25 - - - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - - 0.00 - 0.30 - - - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.50 - - 0.00 - 0.25 - 0.25 - 0.45 0.45 - 0.80 Practical refusal to refusal of 2.7 tonne excavator with V-bit auger drill attach -	0.00 - 0.10 $ 0.10 - 0.40$ $ 0.40 - 2.50$ $ 0.00 - 0.25$ $ 0.25 - 0.70$ $ 0.00 - 0.20$ $ 0.20 - 0.40$ $ 0.40 - 0.65$ $ 0.00 - 0.20$ $ 0.20 - 0.40$ $ 0.40 - 0.60$ $ 0.00 - 0.30$ $ 0.30 - 0.60$ $ 0.00 - 0.20$ $ 0.20 - 0.40$ $-$ Previous Investigation (Ref: NEW18P-0076-AB, 19 June 2018) - Prior to site regrade work $ 0.00 - 0.20$ $ 0.20 - 0.50$ $ 0.00 - 0.25$ $ 0.25 - 0.45$ $0.45 - 0.80$ $0.80 - 0.90$	0.00 - 0.10 - 0.10 - 0.40 - 0.40 - 2.50 - - 0.00 - 0.25 - - - 0.25 - 0.70 0.70 - 0.95 - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - 0.40 - 0.65 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - 0.40 - 0.60 0.60 - 0.70 - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - 0.40 - 0.60 0.60 - 0.70 - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - 0.30 - 0.60 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.40 - - 0.40 - 1.00 Previous Investigation (Ref: NEW18P-0076-AB, 19 June 2018) - Prior to site regrade works - 0.40 - 1.00 - - 0.00 - 0.20 - 0.20 - 0.50 - 0.50 - 1.10 - - 0.00 - 0.25 - 0.25 - 0.45 0.45 - 0.80 0.80 - 0.90 -

Groundwater levels or inflows were not encountered in boreholes during the limited time that they remained open on the day of the field investigations.

It should be noted that groundwater conditions can vary due to rainfall and other influences including regional groundwater flow, temperature, permeability, recharge areas, surface condition, and subsoil drainage.

5.0 Laboratory Testing

Samples collected during the field investigations were returned to our NATA accredited Warabrook Laboratory for testing which comprised of:

- (8 no.) Shrink / Swell tests; and
- (2 no.) Atterberg Limits tests;

Proposed shrink/swell testing for two samples were replaced by Atterberg Limits classification tests due to the friable nature of the site soils.

Results of the laboratory testing are presented in Appendix B, with a summary of the Shrink/Swell and Atterberg Limits test results presented in Table 3 and Table 4, respectively, which also includes results from previous testing on adjacent lots.

Location	Depth (m)	Material Description	lss (%)
		Current Investigation	
BH601	0.60 – 0.80	(CH) CLAY	2.4
BH602	0.30 – 0.45	(CH) CLAY	2.7
BH603	0.40 – 0.52	(CH) CLAY	4.0
BH605	0.50 – 0.70	FILL: (CL) Gravelly Sandy CLAY	0.6
BH614	0.30 – 0.45	(CI) Sandy CLAY	0.7
BH622	0.25 – 0.45	(CI) Gravelly Sandy CLAY	0.4
BH624	0.70 – 0.90	FILL: (CH) CLAY	2.2
BH624	1.00 - 1.20	(CL) Sandy CLAY	1.2
Pr	evious Investigat	ion (Ref: NEW18P-0170B-AC.Rev1, 1 February	2021)
BH521	0.50 – 0.65	FILL: (CL) Gravelly Sandy CLAY	0.7
BH522	0.80 – 1.00	(CH) CLAY	1.4
BH523	1.00 - 1.15	(CH) CLAY	1.8
BH524	0.50 – 0.65	(CH) CLAY	1.8
Pi	revious Investigat	ion (Ref: NEW18P-0170AA-AA.Rev1, 4 March	2020)
TPP24	0.40 - 0.60	(CI) Sandy CLAY	1.6
TPP27	0.40 - 0.55	(CH) Sandy CLAY	2.9

TABLE 3 – SUMMARY OF SHRINK/SWELL TESTING RESULTS

Location	Depth (m)	Material Description	Liquid Limit (%)	Plastic Limit (%)	Plasticity Index (%)	Linear Shrinkage (%)								
	Current Investigation													
BH604	0.30 – 0.45	FILL: (CL) Gravelly Sandy CLAY	31	31 16		6.5								
BH625	0.50 – 0.65	FILL: (CL) Gravelly Sandy CLAY	29	16	13	5.5								
	Previous Investigation (Ref: NEW18P-0170B-AC.Rev1, 1 February 2021)													
BH505	0.50 – 0.65	FILL: (CL) Gravelly Sandy CLAY	30	17	13	5.0								
	Previous Ir	vestigation (Ref: NEW18P-01)	70AA-AA.F	Rev1, 4 M	arch 2020)									
TPP22	1.20 – 1.30	(SC) Clayey SAND	29	16	13	5.0								
TPP23	2.20 – 2.30	(CI) Sandy CLAY	30	14	16	7.0								
TPP26	0.50 - 0.65	(CI) Gravelly Sandy CLAY	50	20	30	11.5								
TPP28	0.60 - 0.70	(CI) Gravelly Sandy CLAY	36	19	17	7.0								

TABLE 4 – SUMMARY OF ATTERBERG LIMITS TESTING RESULTS

The results of the Shrink/Swell and Atterberg Limits laboratory testing indicate that the residual soils tested from the site generally contain fines of medium to high plasticity.

6.0 Site Classification to AS2870-2011

Based on the results of the field work, laboratory testing and site regrade works conducted, residential lots located within Precinct 2, Stage 6A of the Brush Creek Estate residential subdivision, as shown on the attached Figure AB1, are classified in their current condition in accordance with AS2870-2011 '*Residential Slabs and Footings*', as shown in Table 5.

Due to split staging of Stage 6, lot numbers have subsequently been amended. Site classifications provided herein are for Stage 6A (lots 601 to 616) as shown on the attached survey plans provided by McCloy (Ref. Plan of Subdivision of Lot 500 D.P. 1271663, Sheets No: 2 & 3 of 3, dated 15/02/2021).

Lot Numbers	Site Classification
601 to 604, 606 to 614	M
605, 615 and 616	H1

TABLE 5 – SITE CLASSIFICATION TO AS2870-2011

A characteristic free surface movement of 20mm to 40mm is estimated for the lots classified as **Class 'M'** in their existing condition.

A characteristic free surface movement of 40mm to 60mm is estimated for the lots classified as **Class 'H1'** in their existing condition.

The effects of changes to the soil profile by additional cutting and filling and the effects of past and future trees should be considered in selection of the design value for differential movement.

If site re-grading works involving cutting or filling are performed after the date of this assessment, the classification may change and further advice should be sought.

Footings for the proposed development should be designed and constructed in accordance with the requirements of AS2870-2011.

The classification presented above assumes that:

- All footings are founded in controlled fill (if applicable) or in the residual clayey soils or rock below all non-controlled fill, topsoil material and root zones, and fill under slab panels meets the requirements of AS2870-2011, in particular, the root zone must be removed prior to the placement of fill materials beneath slabs;
- The performance expectations set out in Appendix B of AS2870-2011 are acceptable, and that site foundation maintenance is undertaken to avoid extremes of wetting and drying;
- Footings are to be founded outside of or below all zones of influence resulting from existing or future service trenches;
- The constructional and architectural requirements for reactive clay sites set out in AS2870-2011 are followed;
- Adherence to the detailing requirement outlined in Section 5 of AS2870-2011 'Residential Slabs and Footings' is essential, in particular Section 5.6, 'Additional requirements for Classes M, H1, H2 and E sites' including architectural restrictions, plumbing and drainage requirements; and,
- Site maintenance complies with the provisions of CSIRO Sheet BTF 18, "Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance: A Homeowner's Guide", a copy of which is attached in Appendix C.

All structural elements on all lots should be supported on footings founded beneath all uncontrolled fill, layers of inadequate bearing capacity, soft/loose, wet or other potentially deleterious material.

If any localised areas of uncontrolled fill of depths greater than 0.4m are encountered during construction, footings should be designed in accordance with engineering principles for Class 'P' sites.

7.0 Limitations

The findings presented in the report and used as the basis for recommendations presented herein were obtained using normal, industry accepted geotechnical design practices and standards. To our knowledge, they represent a reasonable interpretation of the general conditions of the site.

The extent of testing associated with this assessment is limited to discrete test locations. It should be noted that subsurface conditions between and away from the test locations may be different to those observed during the field work and used as the basis of the recommendations contained in this report.

If subsurface conditions encountered during construction differ from those given in this report, further advice should be sought without delay.

Data and opinions contained within the report may not be used in other contexts or for any other purposes without prior review and agreement by Qualtest. If this report is reproduced, it must be in full.

If you have any further questions regarding this report, please do not hesitate to contact Ben Edwards or the undersigned.

For and on behalf of Qualtest Laboratory (NSW) Pty Ltd.


Jason Lee Principal Geotechnical Engineer


FIGURE AB1:


Site Plan and Approximate Test Locations

SURVEY PLANS:

Plan of Subdivision of Lot 500 D.P. 1271663 Sheet No: 2 & 3 of 3







APPENDIX A:

Results of Field Investigations



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

1 OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

BH601

8/2/21

LOGGED BY:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

ΒE

		YPE: Ole diam		TONNE	300 m		DAT	FACE RL: JM:					
	Drill	ing and Sam	pling			i	Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componer	y/particle ts	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additiona observations
				-		 CL	<u>.05m</u> FILL: TREE MULCH FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - low dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine	to	M				FILL - TREE MULCH FILL - TOPSOIL
	tered						0.20m medium grained angular to sub-angular gr. CLAY - medium to high plasticity, pale oran pale grey, trace fine to coarse grained san fine to medium grained angular gravel.	nge and			HP	320	RESIDUAL SOIL
AD/I	Not Encountered	0.60m U50		-		СН			M > W _P	VSt	ΗP	280	
		<u>0.80m</u>		-							ΗP	260	
				1. <u>0</u>		 CL	1.00m Extremely Weathered Sandy Siltstone with properties: breaks down into Gravelly San low to medium plasticity, pale grey and pal 1.20m fine to coarse grained sand, fine to mediur	dy CLAY - e orange,	d M <	н		-	EXTREMELY WEATHER ROCK / RESIDUAL SOIL
				- 1. <u>5</u> - - -			Sandy SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale or orange, estimated low to medium strength Hole Terminated at 1.22 m Refusal						ROCK
				2. <u>0</u> - -									
				2.5									
				-									
	Wat (Dat	er Level te and time sh er Inflow er Outflow anges	iown)	Notes, Sa U ₅₀ CBR E ASS B	50mm Bulk s Enviro (Glass Acid S (Plasti	i Diame ample f onmenta s jar, se Sulfate \$	<u>s</u> ter tube sample or CBR testing I sample aled and chilled on site) ioil Sample iir expelled, chilled)	S S F F St S VSt N H H	ency /ery Soft Soft Firm Stiff /ery Stiff Hard Friable		<2 25 50 10 20	<u>CS (kPa</u> 25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400) <u>Moisture Condition</u> D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit W _L Liquid Limit
	Gi tra De	radational or ansitional stra efinitive or dis rata change	ta	Field Test PID DCP(x-y) HP	<u>s</u> Photo Dynar	ionisatio nic pen	n detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)	<u>Density</u>	V L ME D VE	Lo M De	ery Lo pose edium ense ery De	n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

LOGGED BY:

1 OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

BE

		YPE: OLE DIAMI		TONNE	300 m		DATL	ACE RL: JM:					
	Drill	ing and Sam	pling	I			Material description and profile information				Fiel	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componen	y/particle ts	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additiona observations
	ered			_		CL	^{2.02} ² → FILL: TREE MULCH FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - lov dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine _{0.22m} medium grained angular to sub-angular gra	to	м				FILL - TREE MULCH FILL - TOPSOIL
AD/T	Not Encountered	0.30m U50 0.45m		- - 0. <u>5</u>		сн	CLAY - medium to high plasticity, pale orar pale grey, trace fine to coarse grained sand fine to medium grained angular gravel.	ge and	M > w _p	VSt	HP	380 350	RESIDUAL SOIL
							Sandy SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale or orange, estimated low to medium strength. Hole Terminated at 0.62 m Refusal	ange to					HIGHLY WEATHERED ROCK
	Wat (Dat Wat	er Level e and time sh er Inflow er Outflow anges	own)	Notes, Sa U₅₀ CBR E ASS B	50mm Bulk s Enviro (Glass Acid S (Plasti Bulk S	i Diame ample f onmenta s jar, se Sulfate \$	is ter tube sample or CBR testing il sample aled and chilled on site) Soil Sample air expelled, chilled)	S S F F St S VSt V H F Fb F	/ery Soft Soft Stiff /ery Stiff Hard Friable		<2 25 50 10 20 >4	<u>CS (kPa</u> 25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit W _L Liquid Limit
	tra D	radational or ansitional strat efinitive or dist rata change	a	Field Test PID DCP(x-y) HP	Photoi Dynan	nic pen	on detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)	<u>Density</u>	V L ME D VD	Lo M D	ery Lo bose lediun ense ery D	n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%



ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE

CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

1 OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

BH603

LOGGED BY:

ΒE 8/2/21

		YPE: OLE DIAN		TONNE :	EXCA 300 m		DR SURF DATL	ACE RL: JM:						
	Drill	ing and San	npling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test		
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componen		MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations	
				-		CL	FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - low dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine 0.20m_ medium grained angular to sub-angular gra	to	М				FILL - TOPSOIL	
П	Not Encountered	0.40m		-			CLAY - medium to high plasticity, pale oran pale grey, trace fine to coarse grained sand fine to medium grained angular gravel.	ge and			HP	350	RESIDUAL SOIL	
AD/T	Not Er	U50 .0.52m		0.5		СН			M > K	VSt				
				-			0.90m ^{0.92m} \ Sandy SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale or				HP	390	HIGHLY WEATHERED	
				1. <u>0</u>			orange, estimated low to medium strength. Hole Terminated at 0.92 m Refusal						ROCK	
				-										
				-										
				1.5_										
				-										
				-										
				- 2.0_										
				-										
				-										
				-										
				2.5_										
				-										
				-										
LFC	GEND:			- Notes, Sa	mples a	nd Tes	s	Consiste	ncv			CS (kPa	Moisture Condition	
	<u>ter</u> Wat (Dat	er Level e and time sl er Inflow	nown)	U₅₀ CBR E ASS	50mm Bulk s Enviro (Glass	Diame ample i nmenta jar, se	ret tube sample ter tube sample al sample aled and chilled on site) Soil Sample	VS V S S F F St S	/ery Soft Soft Firm Stiff /ery Stiff		<2 25 50 10		M D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit W _i Liquid Limit	
Stra	¶ Wat ata Cha	er Outflow anges		В	(Plasti Bulk S		air expelled, chilled)	H H Fb F	Hard Friable		>4	100		
	tra D	radational or ansitional stra efinitive or dis rata change	ita	Field Test PID DCP(x-y) HP	Photoi Dynan	nic pen	on detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)	<u>Density</u>	V L MD D VD	Lo M De	ery Lo pose edium ense ery De	n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%	



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

BH604

1 OF 1 NEW18P-0170C

LOGGED BY:

BE 8/2/21

		YPE: OLE DIAM		FONNE	300 m		DATI	FACE RL: JM:					
	Drill	ing and Sam	pling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plastici characteristics,colour,minor componer		MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additiona observations
				_		CL	FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - lov dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine medium grained angular to sub-angular gr	to	м				FILL - TOPSOIL
Т	Not Encountered	0.30m		_		— — - СI	FILL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - low to mediu plasticity, pale orange to orange and pale or grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to m grained angular gravel.	grey to	< Wp	VSt -	HP	550	FILL - CONTROLLED
AD/T	Not Er	U50 0.45m		0. <u>5</u>			0.55m		ž	Н	HP	380	
		0.60m U50 0.75m		-		СН	CLAY - medium to high plasticity, pale oran pale grey, trace fine to coarse grained san fine to medium grained angular gravel.		M > W _P	VSt	ΗP	350	
				-			^{0.76br} Sandy SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale o orange, estimated low to medium strength Hole Terminated at 0.76 m	range to					HIGHLY WEATHERED ROCK
				1. <u>0</u>			Refusal						
				-									
				-									
				1.5_									
				-									
				_									
				2.0									
				-									
				-									
				 2.5									
				-									
				_									
	SEND:			Notes, Sar				Consiste				CS (kPa)	
Wate T	Wat (Dat	er Level e and time sh		U₅₀ CBR E	Bulk s Envirc	ample f	ter tube sample or CBR testing I sample aled and chilled on site)	S S F F	/ery Soft Soft =irm Stiff		50	25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200	D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit
► Stra		er Inflow er Outflow anges	,	ASS B	Acid S (Plasti	Sulfate S	ioil Sample air expelled, chilled)	н	/ery Stiff Hard ⁼riable			00 - 400 100	W _L Liquid Limit
	Gi tra De	radational or Insitional strat efinitive or dis rata change	ta	Field Test PID DCP(x-y) HP	<u>s</u> Photoi Dynan	ionisatio nic pen	n detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)	<u>Density</u>	V L ME D	Lo D M	ery Lo pose edium ense	oose n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85%



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

LOGGED BY:

BH605 1 OF 1

1 OF 1 NEW18P-0170C

BE

		YPE: Ole dian		TONNE :	EXCA 300 m		R SURI DATI	FACE RL: JM:					
	Drill	ing and San	npling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componen		MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
							^{0.05m} FILL: TREE MULCH FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - lov		Гм				FILL - TREE MULCH
				-		CL	dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine	to					
				-			FILL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - low to mediuu plasticity, pale orange to orange and pale g grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to m	grey to			HP	480	FILL - CONTROLLED
	red			-			grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to m grained angular gravel.	lealum			HP	390	
L	Not Encountered	0.50m		0.5_								500	
AD/T	lot Enc	U50 0.70m		-					~ WP	VSt -	HP	380 - 410	
	z			-		CI			ž	Н	HP	520	
				_								520	
				1. <u>0</u>									
				-									
							1.20m 1.22m Sandy SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale or structure to the second		╞┻		-		HIGHLY WEATHERED
				-	-		orange, estimated low to medium strength. Hole Terminated at 1.22 m	·]					INDER
				1.5			Refusal						
				-	-								
				-	-								
					-								
				2.0	-								
				2.0									
				-	-								
					-								
				2.5	-								
				-	-								
				-									
					-								
LEC	GEND:			Notes, Sa	mples a	nd Tes	s	Consiste	encv			CS (kPa	a) Moisture Condition
Wat	ter			U₅₀ CBR	50mm Bulk s	Diame ample t	ter tube sample or CBR testing	VS V S S	/ery Soft Soft		<2		D Dry M Moist
T	(Dat	er Level te and time sl	<i>`</i>	E	(Glass	s jar, se	aled and chilled on site)	St S	Firm Stiff		10) - 100)0 - 200	P
	Wat	er Inflow er Outflow		ASS	(Plast	c bag,	Soil Sample air expelled, chilled)	н н	/ery Stiff Hard			00 - 400 100	W _L Liquid Limit
<u>Stra</u>		radational or		B Field Test	ts	ample	an detector reading (ppm)	Fb F Density	Friable V		ery Lo	ose	Density Index <15%
	D	ansitional stra efinitive or dis		PID DCP(x-y) HP	Dynar	nic pen	n detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)		L ME D	D M	oose ledium ense	n Dense	Density Index 15 - 35% Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85%
	st	rata change					· · /		VD		ery De	ense	Density Index 85 - 100%



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

LOGGED BY:

BH610 1 OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

BE

		YPE: OLE DIAN		TONNE	EXCA 300 m		R SUR DAT	FACE RL: JM:					
	Drill	ing and San	npling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plastici characteristics,colour,minor componer	ty/particle ts	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
AD/T	Not Encountered	0.20m D 0.40m				CL CL	 ¹⁰²⁰ FILL: TREE MULCH FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - low film dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine medium grained angular to sub-angular gr Sandy CLAY / Clayey SAND - low to medii plasticity, pale orange with pale grey, fine t grained sand, with fine to medium grained gravel. Sandy SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale or 	e to avel um o coarse angular	M M M M M	Н		-	FILL - TREE MULCH FILL - TOPSOIL RESIDUAL SOIL 7
	3END:			0.5 0.5 - - 1.0 - - 1.5 - - - 2.0 - - - 2.5 - - - - - - - - - - - - -		nd Tesi	Sandy SiL 15 TONE - paie grey and paie of orange, estimated low to medium strength Hole Terminated at 0.46 m Refusal	Consiste			UG		ROCK
<u>Wat</u> ▼ <u>Stra</u>	Wat (Dat - Wat Wat	er Level e and time sl er Inflow er Outflow anges radational or	nown)	U₅₀ CBR E ASS B Field Test	Bulk s Enviro (Glass Acid S (Plasti Bulk S	ample f onmenta s jar, se Sulfate S	r tube sample r CBR testing sample ed and chilled on site) il Sample r expelled, chilled)	S S F I St S VSt V	Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff Hard Friable V		50 10	- 50 - 100 0 - 200 0 - 400 00	D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit W _L Liquid Limit
	tra De	radational or ansitional stra efinitive or dis rata change	ita	PID DCP(x-y) HP	Photoi Dynan	nic pene	i detector reading (ppm) rometer test (test depth interval shown) neter test (UCS kPa)		L ME D VD	La D M	oose	1 Dense	Density Index 15 - 35%



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

BH611

1 OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

LOGGED BY:

PAGE:

JOB NO:

DATE:

ΒE 8/2/21

BOI	REH	OLE DIAMI	ETER:		300 m	m	DAT	UM:			-		
	Drill	ing and Sam	pling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plastic characteristics,colour,minor compone	city/particle ents	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additiona observations
					****	0	FILL: TREE MULCH						FILL - TREE MULCH
AD/T	Not Encountered	0.30m		-		CL	0.10m FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - Ic dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fir medium grained angular to sub-angular g 0.30m	ne to	M				FILL - TOPSOIL
A	Not E	D 0.50m		0.5		CL	Sandy CLAY / Clayey SAND - low to med plasticity, pale orange with pale grey, fine grained sand, with fine to medium grained gravel.	to coarse	M < W	н			RESIDUAL SOIL7 EXTREMELY WEATHERI ROCK
_				-	<u>///i//</u> -		0.55m <u>ND56m</u> Sandy SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale (orange, estimated low to medium strengt)	 orange to b	┢┺				HIGHLY WEATHERED
				-			Hole Terminated at 0.56 m Refusal						
				- 1. <u>0</u>									
				-									
				-									
				1.5									
				1.0									
				_									
				-									
				2.0									
				-									
				-									
				-									
				2.5									
				-									
				-									
				-									
				-									
	END:		1	Notes, Sa				Consiste			<u>U(</u> <2	CS (kPa	
Wate	_	er Level	0	U ₅₀ CBR E	Bulk s	ample f	ter tube sample or CBR testing il sample	S	Very Soft Soft Firm		25	25 5 - 50 0 - 100	D Dry M Moist W Wet
►	•	e and time sh er Inflow	<u> </u>	L	(Glass	s jar, sea	aled and chilled on site) Soil Sample	St	Stiff Very Stiff		10) - 100)0 - 200)0 - 400	W _p Plastic Limit W ₁ Liquid Limit
_∢	Wat	er Outflow		B	(Plasti		air expelled, chilled)	н	Hard Friable			100 - 400 100	
<u>oral</u> — —	G	anges radational or ansitional strat		Field Test PID	<u>s</u>		on detector reading (ppm)	Density	V L		ery Lo oose	ose	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35%
		efinitive or dist	1 5	DCP(x-y) HP			etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)		ME		ledium ense	n Dense	



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

BH614

1 OF 1 NEW18P-0170C

LOGGED BY: BE

			YPE: OLE DIAN		FONNE	EXCA 300 m		R SURI DATI	FACE RL: JM:					
F		Drill	ing and San	npling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
	METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componen		MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
		Not Encountered			-			FILL: TREE MULCH FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - low dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine medium grained angular to sub-angular gra	to	M				FILL
	AD/T	Not End	0.30m U50 0.45m		-			Sandy CLAY - medium plasticity, pale oran orange and pale grey, fine to medium grain trace fine to medium grained angular grave	ned sand,	 ∧ ~ M	VSt	HP HP	220 380	RESIDUAL SOIL
NON-CORED BOREHOLE - TEST PIT NEW18P-0170C - DRAFT LOGS GPJ < <drawingfile>> 23/02/2021 07:24 10.0.000 DatgetLab and In Situ Tool</drawingfile>					0.5 			2.50m Caster Sandy SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale of orange, estimated low to medium strength. Hole Terminated at 0.51 m Refusal	ange to					HIGHLY WEATHERED
		Wat (Dat Wat	er Level e and time sl er Inflow er Outflow inges	nown)	Notes, Sar U₅₀ CBR E ASS B	50mm Bulk s Enviro (Glass Acid S (Plasti	Diame ample f nmenta jar, se sulfate S	<u>S</u> er tube sample or CBR testing I sample aled and chilled on site) oil Sample iir expelled, chilled)	S S F F St S VSt V H F	/ery Soft Soft Firm Stiff /ery Stiff lard Friable		<2 25 50 10 20	CS (kPa 5 5 - 50 - 100 0 - 200 0 - 400 00	Moisture Condition D Dry M Moist W Wet Wp Plastic Limit WL Liquid Limit
QT LIB 1.1.GLB Log		Gi tra — De	radational or insitional stra efinitive or dis rata change	ita	Field Test PID DCP(x-y) HP	<u>s</u> Photoi Dynan	onisatio	n detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)	Density	V L ME D VD	Lo M De	ery Lo bose edium ense ery De	n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

LOGGED BY:

BH615 1 OF 1

OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

BE 8/2/21

		YPE: OLE DIAN		TONNE	EXCA 300 m		R SURF	FACE RL: JM:					
	Drill	ing and San	npling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componen	y/particle ts	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
	untered			-		CL	.0.05m FILL: TREE MULCH FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - lov dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine medium grained angular to sub-angular gra	to	M				FILL - TREE MULCH FILL - TOPSOIL
AD/T	Not Encountered	0.30m U50 0.45m		- 0. <u>5</u>		CL	Sandy CLAY / Clayey SAND - low to mediu plasticity, pale orange with pale grey, fine to grained sand, with fine to medium grained gravel.	o coarse	M > W	VSt	HP	350	RESIDUAL SOIL7 EXTREMELY WEATHERE ROCK
							As and y SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale or varage, estimated ow to medium strength. Hole Terminated at 0.56 m Refusal	ange to					HIGHLY WEATHERED
Wate	_	er Level		<mark>Notes, Sa</mark> U₅₀ CBR	50mm Bulk s	Diame ample f	ter tube sample or CBR testing	S S	/ery Soft Soft		<2 25	5 - 50	D Dry M Moist
= 	(Dat ∙ Wat	e and time sl er Inflow er Outflow	í í	E ASS	(Glass Acid S	s jar, se Sulfate \$	Il sample aled and chilled on site) Soil Sample sir expelled, chilled)	St St VSt V	Firm Stiff /ery Stiff Hard		10 20) - 100)0 - 200)0 - 400 400	W Wet W _p Plastic Limit W _L Liquid Limit
-	ita Cha			B Field Test PID	Bulk S	ample	air expelled, chilled) on detector reading (ppm)	1	riable V L		ery Lo		Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35%
	D	efinitive or dis rata change		DCP(x-y) HP			etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)		ME D VD	D	ledium ense ery De	n Dense ense	Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

LOGGED BY:

BH616 1 OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

BE

		YPE: OLE DIAN		TONNE	EXCA 300 m		R SURI DATI	FACE RL: JM:					
	Drill	ing and San	npling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componen	y/particle ts	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
F	Not Encountered			-		CL	FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - lov dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine medium grained angular to sub-angular gra 0.20m	e to avel. 	M				FILL - TOPSOIL
AD/T	Not En	0.30m U50 0.45m		0.5		CI	orange and pale grey, fine to medium grain trace fine to medium grained angular grave	ned sand,	M > w _p	VSt	HP	350	
NON-CORED BOREHOLE - TEST PIT NEW18P-0170C - DKAFT LOGS GPJ < <drawngfile>> 23/02/2021 07:24 10.0.000 Daget Lab and In Situ Tool</drawngfile>							As and y SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale of orange, estimated low to medium strength. Hole Terminated at 0.56 m Refusal	ange to					HIGHLY WEATHERED
	Wat (Dat Wat	er Level e and time sl er Inflow er Outflow anges	nown)	<u>Notes, Sa</u> U₅₀ CBR E ASS B	50mm Bulk s Enviro (Glass Acid S (Plasti	Diame ample f nmenta jar, se sulfate S	§ er tube sample or CBR testing I sample aled and chilled on site) oil Sample ir expelled, chilled)	S S F F St S VSt N H H	/ery Soft Soft Firm Stiff /ery Stiff Hard Friable		<2 25 50 10 20	<u>CS (kPa</u> 25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit
	Gi tra De	radational or ansitional stra efinitive or dis rata change	ita	Field Test PID DCP(x-y) HP	<u>s</u> Photo Dynar	ionisatio nic pene	n detector reading (ppm) trometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)	<u>Density</u>	V L D VD	Lo M De	ery Lo bose ediun ense ery De	n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

LOGGED BY:

BH619 1 OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

ΒE 8/2/21

БО		OLE DIAN			300 m		DAT	JIVI.					
	Drill	ing and San	npling	1			Material description and profile information		_	1	Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plastici characteristics,colour,minor componer	ty/particle its	MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additiona observations
	Intered			-		 CL	<u>FILL: TREE MULCH</u> FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - loo dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine medium grained angular to sub-angular gr	e to	M				FILL - TREE MULCH FILL - TOPSOIL
AD/T	Not Encountered			- 0. <u>5</u>		CL	0.24m Sandy CLAY / Clayey SAND - low to medi plasticity, pale orange with pale grey, fine t grained sand, with fine to medium grained gravel. 0.55m	 um o coarse	M < W	н			RESIDUAL SOIL / EXTREMELY WEATHER ROCK
				- - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -			Sandy SILTSTONE - pale grey and pale o orange, estimated low to medium strength Hole Terminated at 0.56 m Refusal	ange to					HIGHLYWEATHERED ROCK
<u>Wat</u>	Wat (Dat	er Level e and time sl er Inflow er Outflow anges	nown)	<u>Notes, Sa</u> U₅₀ CBR E ASS B	50mm Bulk s Enviro (Glass Acid S (Plasti Bulk S	Diamel ample fo nmenta jar, sea sulfate S	s er tube sample or CBR testing I sample aled and chilled on site) oil Sample ir expelled, chilled)	S F St VSt H Fb	Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff Hard Friable		<2 25 50 10 20 >4	- 50 - 100 0 - 200 0 - 400 00	D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit W _L Liquid Limit
	tra D(radational or ansitional stra efinitive or dis rata change	ita	Field Test PID DCP(x-y) HP	Photo Dynar	nic pene	n detector reading (ppm) trometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)	<u>Density</u>	V L ME D	Lo M	ery Lo bose edium ense	iose n Dense	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85%



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

LOGGED BY:

BH620 1 OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

BE

во		YPE: OLE DIAM		FONNE	300 m		DATI	FACE RL: JM:					
	Drill	ing and Sam	pling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componer		MOISTURE	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additiona observations
AD/T	Not Encountered	0.20m D 0.40m		- - - 0. <u>5</u> -		CL	FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - lov dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine medium grained angular to sub-angular gr Sandy CLAY / Clayey SAND - low to mediu plasticity, pale orange with pale grey, fine t grained sand, with fine to medium grained gravel.	avel. Jim o coarse angular	M M × W M	Н			FILL - TOPSOIL RESIDUAL SOIL 7 EXTREMELY WEATHERE ROCK HIGHLY WEATHERED
	SEND:			1.0			Hole Terminated at 0.82 m Refusal	Consiste				CS (kPa) Moisture Condition
<u>Wat</u> ▼	ter Wat (Dat Wat Wat Mata Cha tra Cha tra	er Level e and time sh er Inflow er Outflow anges radational or ansitional strat efinitive or dis	own)	U ₅₀ CBR E ASS B Field Test PID DCP(x-y)	50mm Bulk s Enviro (Glass Acid S (Plasti Bulk S S Photo	Diamet ample fo nmenta jar, sea culfate S c bag, a c bag, a c bag, a	r tube sample r CBR testing sample led and chilled on site) bil Sample r expelled, chilled) n detector reading (ppm) trometer test (test depth interval shown)	VS S F St VSt H	Very Soft Soft Firm Stiff Very Stiff Hard Friable V L ME	Vi	25 25 50 10 20 20 >4 ery Lo pose	25 5 - 50 0 - 100 00 - 200 00 - 400 400	D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit W _L Liquid Limit Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35%



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

BH621 1 OF 1

NEW18P-0170C

LOGGED BY: BE

		YPE: OLE DIAN		TONNE :	EXCA 300 m		R SURI DATI	FACE RL: JM:					
	Dril	ling and San	npling				Material description and profile information				Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componer	ty/particle ts	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additional observations
	ntered			-		CL	FILL: TREE MULCH		- м				FILL - TREE MULCH
AD/T	Not Encountered	0.30m		-		 	dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine medium grained angular to sub-angular grained angular to sub-angular grained sandy CLAY - medium plasticity, orange and grey, fine to coarse grained sa	avel´	× ×	VSt	-		RESIDUAL SOIL
		U50 0.50m		- 0. <u>5</u>			medium grained angular gravel.		Σ Σ				
				-			orange, estimated low strength. Hole Terminated at 0.52 m Refusal						ROCK
				-									
				1. <u>0</u>									
				-									
				- 1.5									
•				-									
				-									
				- 2. <u>0</u>									
				-									
				-									
				2.5									
				-									
				_									
Wa	(Da	ter Level te and time sl ter Inflow	hown)	Notes, Sa U ₅₀ CBR E ASS	50mm Bulk s Enviro (Glass	Diame ample f nmenta jar, se	s er tube sample or CBR testing I sample aled and chilled on site) oil Sample	S S F F St S	ncy /ery Soft Soft Firm Stiff /ery Stiff		<2 25 50 10	CS (kPa 5 - 50 - 100 0 - 200 0 - 400	D Dry M Moist W Wet W _p Plastic Limit
	ata Ch	radational or		B Field Test	(Plasti Bulk S : <u>s</u>	c bag, a ample	ir expelled, chilled) n detector reading (ppm)	нн	Hard Friable V L	V		00	Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35%
_	D	ansitional stra efinitive or dis rata change		DCP(x-y) HP	Dynan	nic pen	trometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)			D M		n Dense ense	-



CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

BH622 1 OF 1

LOGGED BY:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

NEW18P-0170C ΒE 8/2/21

Structure and additionation observations
- TOPSOIL
SIDUAL SOIL / REMELY WEATHER CK
HLY WEATHERED
loisture Condition
Dry Moist Wet
/ _p Plastic Limit / _L Liquid Limit
ensity Index <15%
ensity Index < 15% ensity Index 15 - 35% ensity Index 35 - 65% ensity Index 65 - 85%
er er



CLIENT:McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTDPROJECT:BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

1 OF 1

1 OF 1 NEW18P-0170C

LOGGED BY:

PAGE:

DATE:

JOB NO:

BE 8/2/21

	Drill	ing and San	npling	1			Material description and profile information		-		Field	d Test	
METHOD	WATER	SAMPLES	RL (m)	DEPTH (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticity characteristics,colour,minor components	/particle	MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additiona observations
						CL	FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - low dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine t medium grained angular to sub-angular grav	o	м				FILL - TOPSOIL
				-			0.20m					-	
				-			FILL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - low to mediun plasticity, pale orange to orange and pale gr grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to me grained angular gravel.	n ey to edium			ΗP	300	FILL - CONTROLLED
				0.5		CI			> Wp	St -	HP	300	
		0.70m		-			0.70m		Σ	VSt			
	þé	U50		-		 СН	FILL: CLAY - medium to high plasticity, pale red-brown.	grey and]		HP	180	
	untere	0.90m		-			0.90m Sandy CLAY - low to medium plasticity, dark					-	
AD/T	Not Encountered	1.00m		1. <u>0</u>			fine to medium grained sand, with fine to me grained sub-rounded to sub-angular gravel.	edium					00120110111
	z	U50 1.20m		-		CL			M < W _P	Fb			
				_									
				-			1.40m Sandy CLAY - medium plasticity, pale orang					-	RESIDUAL SOIL
				1.5			orange and pale grey, fine to medium graine trace fine to medium grained angular gravel	ed sand,			ΗP	300	
				_		CI			M > W _P	VSt			
				_							HP	320	
				2.0	//////		2.00m Hole Terminated at 2.00 m						
				-									
				-									
				2.5									
				-									
				-									
LEG	END:			Notes, Sa	mples a	nd Test	s	Consiste	ncv			CS (kPa) Moisture Condition
Wate	ər			U ₅₀ CBR	50mm	Diame	er tube sample or CBR testing	VS V	/ery Soft Soft		<2		D Dry M Moist
₹		er Level e and time sl		E	Enviro	nmenta	I sample aled and chilled on site)	F F	Firm Stiff		50) - 100) - 200	W Wet W _p Plastic Limit
► _	Wat	er Inflow er Outflow		ASS	Acid S	ulfate S	soil Sample air expelled, chilled)	VSt V	/ery Stiff Iard		20	10 - 200 10 - 400 100	W_{L} Liquid Limit
Strat	ta Cha	inges		B Field Test	Bulk S	ample			riable V		ery Lo		Density Index <15%
	 tra	adational or Insitional stra Initive or dis	ita	PID DCP(x-y) HP	Photoi Dynan	nic pen	n detector reading (ppm) etrometer test (test depth interval shown) meter test (UCS kPa)	Density	L ME D	Lo M	oose	n Dense	Density Index 15 - 35%



2.7 TONNE EXCAVATOR

DRILL TYPE:

ENGINEERING LOG - BOREHOLE

CLIENT: McCLOY EDGEWORTH PTY LTD PROJECT: BRUSH CREEK SUBDIVISION - STAGE 6A

LOCATION: TRANSFIELD AVENUE, EDGEWORTH

BOREHOLE NO:

PAGE:

SURFACE RL:

BH625


1 OF 1

JO	B NO:			NEW18P-0170C					
LO	GGEE) BY	:	BE					
DA	TE:			8/2/21					
		Field	d Test						
₽N	исY Y)e		Structure and additional					

BO				300 m	m	DATL Material description and profile information	JM:			Fiel	d Tost	
	Urill	ing and Samplir	iy I		7	Material description and profile information		1		Fiel	d Test	
METHOD	WATER		RL DEPT n) (m)	GRAPHIC LOG	CLASSIFICATION SYMBOL	MATERIAL DESCRIPTION: Soil type, plasticit characteristics,colour,minor componen		MOISTURE CONDITION	CONSISTENCY DENSITY	Test Type	Result	Structure and additiona observations
AD/T Not Encountered		0.50m U50 0.65m		-	CL	FILL-TOPSOIL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - low p dark grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fine to medium grained angular to sub-angular grav		м				FILL - TOPSOIL
			0.4	0.5		6.20m FILL: Gravelly Sandy CLAY - medium plasti orange to orange and pale grey to grey, fine coarse grained sand, fine to coarse grained sub-rounded to angular gravel.	e to	^d ∾~W	н	HP	450 380	FILL - CONTROLLED
	incountered		1.		CI	Increasing in gravel content.		M < w _p	Fb			
	Not E		1.		GC	1.40m FILL: Clayey Sandy GRAVEL - fine to med grained, angular to sub-rounded, pale oran grey, fine to coarse grained sand, fines of I medium plasticity.	ge and	м	MD	-		
			2.		CI	Gravelly CLAY / Clayey GRAVEL - low to n plasticity, dark grey, fine to coarse grained sub-angular gravel, trace fine to coarse gra sand.	angular to	M > Wp	St - VSt	HP	200	
					CI	Sandy CLAY - medium plasticity, pale orang orange and pale grey, fine to coarse (mostly grained sand, trace fine to medium grained a gravel. Hole Terminated at 2.60 m	ly fine)	-	VSt HP	HP	320	RESIDUAL SOIL
	END:		Notes, 5	Samples a		ter tube sample	Consister	ncy /ery Soff	 :		CS (kPa 25) Moisture Condition D Dry
Water ✓ Water Level (Date and time shown) ✓ Water Inflow ✓ Water Outflow Strata Changes Gradational or transitional strata Definitive or distict strata change		CBR E	CBR Bulk sample for CBR testing E Environmental sample (Glass jar, sealed and chilled on site) ASS Acid Sulfate Soil Sample (Plastic bag, air expelled, chilled)			S Soft F Firm St Stiff VSt Very Stiff H Hard Fb Friable			25 - 50 50 - 100 100 - 200 200 - 400 >400		P	
		Field Te PID	Field Tests PID Photoionisation detector reading (ppm) DCP(x-y) Dynamic penetrometer test (test depth interval shown)			Density V Ve L Lo MD M D De			/ery Loose Loose Medium Dense Dense /ery Dense		Density Index <15% Density Index 15 - 35% Density Index 35 - 65% Density Index 65 - 85% Density Index 85 - 100%	

APPENDIX B:

Results of Laboratory Testing



- 02 4968 4468 т٠
- 02 4960 9775
- E: admin@qualtest.com.au W: www.qualtest.com.au ABN: 98 153 268 896
- F: E: W:

Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025-Testing. The results of the tests calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national

Issue No: 1

AS 1289.7.1.1

Results provided relate only to the items tested or sampled.

Approved Signatory: Brent Cullen (Senior Geotechnician) NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 18686 Date of Issue: 18/02/2021

Shrink Swell Index	- Iss (%): 2.4

5.0

10.0

15.0

20.0

0.0

-5.0

-10.0 0.0

Comments

Form No: 18932, Report No: SSI:NEW21W-0409-S01

25.0

Moisture Content (%)

30.0

35.0

40.0


50.0

45.0



- 02 4968 4468
- т٠ F: E: W: 02 4960 9775
- E: admin@qualtest.com.au W: www.qualtest.com.au ABN: 98 153 268 896

Report No: SSI:NEW21W-0409-S02 Issue No: 1 Shrink Swell Index Report Client: McCloy Project Management Pty Ltd Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025-Testing. The results of the tests calibrations and/or measurements PO Box 2214 included in this document are traceable to Australian/national Dangar NSW 2309 standards. ΝΔΤ Results provided relate only to the items tested or sampled. (all Project No.: NEW18P-0170C Approved Signatory: Brent Cullen Project Name: Brush Creek Subdivision - Precinct 2, Stage 6A & 6B BLD REC (Senior Geotechnician) ACCREDITATION NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 18686 Date of Issue: 18/02/2021 Sample Details Sample ID: NEW21W-0409-S02 Sampling Method: The results outlined below apply to the sample as received Material: **Date Sampled:** 28/01/2021 Clay Source: Date Submitted: On-Slte 11/02/2021 Specification: No Specification Project Location: Transfield Avenue, Edgeworth Sample Location: BH602 - (0.30 - 0.45m) Date Tested: 12/02/2021 AS 1289.7.1.1 AS 1289.7.1.1 Swell Test Shrink Test Swell on Saturation (%): Shrink on drying (%): -2.6 4.9 Moisture Content before (%): Shrinkage Moisture Content (%): 24.5 21.7 Moisture Content after (%): Est. inert material (%): 29.4 7% Est. Unc. Comp. Strength before (kPa): 500 Crumbling during shrinkage: Nil Est. Unc. Comp. Strength after (kPa): 250 Cracking during shrinkage: Moderate Shrink Swell Shrinkage Sw ell 10.0 Shrink (%) Esh - Swell (%) Esw 5.0 0.0 -5.0 -10.0 0.0 5.0 10.0 15.0 20.0 25.0 30.0 35.0 40.0 50.0 45.0 Moisture Content (%) Shrink Swell Index - Iss (%): 2.7



02 4968 4468

02 4960 9775 E: admin@qualtest.com.au W: www.qualtest.com.au ABN: 98 153 268 896

т٠ F: E: W:

Shrink Swell Index - Iss (%): 4.0



- 02 4968 4468 T:
- 1:
 02 4968 4468

 F:
 02 4960 9775

 E:
 admin@qualtest.com.au

 W:
 www.qualtest.com.au

 ABN:
 98 153 268 896


Report No: MAT:NEW21W-0409-S04 Issue No: 1 **Material Test Report** McCloy Project Management Pty Ltd PO Box 2214 Client: Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025-Testing. The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national Dangar NSW 2309 standards. NATA Results provided relate only to the items tested or sampled. Cull B Project No.: NEW18P-0170C Approved Signatory: Brent Cullen Project Name: Brush Creek Subdivision - Precinct 2, Stage 6A & 6B BLD RECOR een (Senior Geotechnician) ACCREDITATION NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 18686 Date of Issue: 18/02/2021

Sample Details

Sample ID:	NEW21W-0409-S04 The results outlined below apply to the sample as received
Date Sampled:	28/01/2021
Source:	On-SIte
Material:	Gravelly Sandy Clay
Specification:	No Specification
Project Location:	Transfield Avenue, Edgeworth
Sample Location:	BH604 - (0.30 - 0.45m)

Test Results


Description	Method	Result	Limits
Sample History	AS 1289.1.1	Oven-dried	
Preparation	AS 1289.1.1	Dry Sieved	
Linear Shrinkage (%)	AS 1289.3.4.1	6.5	
Mould Length (mm)		250	
Crumbling		No	
Curling		No	
Cracking		Yes	
Liquid Limit (%)	AS 1289.3.1.2	31	
Plastic Limit (%)	AS 1289.3.2.1	16	
Plasticity Index (%)	AS 1289.3.3.1	15	
Date Tested		16/02/2021	




02 4968 4468

02 4960 9775

т٠





Comments

QUALTEST Laboratory (NSW) Pty Ltd (20708) 8 Ironbark Close Warabrook NSW 2304


Issue No: 1

AS 1289.7.1.1

02 4968 4468

02 4960 9775


т٠ F: E: W:



02 4968 4468

02 4960 9775

т٠




02 4968 4468

02 4960 9775

т٠

Comments

Form No: 18932, Report No: SSI:NEW21W-0409-S08



02 4968 4468

02 4960 9775

т٠



- 02 4968 4468 T:
- 1: 02 4968 4468 F: 02 4960 9775 E: admin@qualtest.com.au W: www.qualtest.com.au ABN: 98 153 268 896

Report No: MAT:NEW21W-0409-S10 Issue No: 1 **Material Test Report** McCloy Project Management Pty Ltd PO Box 2214 Client: Accredited for compliance with ISO/IEC 17025-Testing. The results of the tests, calibrations and/or measurements included in this document are traceable to Australian/national Dangar NSW 2309 standards. NATA Results provided relate only to the items tested or sampled. Cull B Project No.: NEW18P-0170C Approved Signatory: Brent Cullen Project Name: Brush Creek Subdivision - Precinct 2, Stage 6A & 6B BLD RECOR SED (Senior Geotechnician) ACCREDITATION NATA Accredited Laboratory Number: 18686 Date of Issue: 18/02/2021

Sample Details

Sample ID:	NEW21W-0409-S10
Date Sampled:	The results outlined below apply to the sample as received 28/01/2021
Source:	On-Slte
Material:	Gravelly Sandy Clay
Specification:	No Specification
Project Location:	Transfield Avenue, Edgeworth
Sample Location:	BH625 - (0.50 - 0.65m)

Test Results

Description	Method	Result	Limits
Sample History	AS 1289.1.1	Oven-dried	
Preparation	AS 1289.1.1	Dry Sieved	
Linear Shrinkage (%)	AS 1289.3.4.1	5.5	
Mould Length (mm)	7.6 1200.0.1.1	250	
Crumbling		No	
Curling		No	
Cracking		Yes	
Liquid Limit (%)	AS 1289.3.1.2	29	
Plastic Limit (%)	AS 1289.3.2.1	16	
Plasticity Index (%)	AS 1289.3.3.1	13	
Date Tested	710 1200.0.0.1	16/02/2021	

APPENDIX C:

CSIRO Sheet BTF 18

Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance: A Homeowner's Guide

Foundation Maintenance and Footing Performance: A Homeowner's Guide



BTF 18 replaces Information Sheet 10/91

Buildings can and often do move. This movement can be up, down, lateral or rotational. The fundamental cause of movement in buildings can usually be related to one or more problems in the foundation soil. It is important for the homeowner to identify the soil type in order to ascertain the measures that should be put in place in order to ensure that problems in the foundation soil can be prevented, thus protecting against building movement.

This Building Technology File is designed to identify causes of soil-related building movement, and to suggest methods of prevention of resultant cracking in buildings.

Soil Types

The types of soils usually present under the topsoil in land zoned for residential buildings can be split into two approximate groups – granular and clay. Quite often, foundation soil is a mixture of both types. The general problems associated with soils having granular content are usually caused by erosion. Clay soils are subject to saturation and swell/shrink problems.

Classifications for a given area can generally be obtained by application to the local authority, but these are sometimes unreliable and if there is doubt, a geotechnical report should be commissioned. As most buildings suffering movement problems are founded on clay soils, there is an emphasis on classification of soils according to the amount of swell and shrinkage they experience with variations of water content. The table below is Table 2.1 from AS 2870, the Residential Slab and Footing Code.

Causes of Movement

Settlement due to construction

There are two types of settlement that occur as a result of construction:

- Immediate settlement occurs when a building is first placed on its foundation soil, as a result of compaction of the soil under the weight of the structure. The cohesive quality of clay soil mitigates against this, but granular (particularly sandy) soil is susceptible.
- Consolidation settlement is a feature of clay soil and may take place because of the expulsion of moisture from the soil or because of the soil's lack of resistance to local compressive or shear stresses. This will usually take place during the first few months after construction, but has been known to take many years in exceptional cases.

These problems are the province of the builder and should be taken into consideration as part of the preparation of the site for construction. Building Technology File 19 (BTF 19) deals with these problems.

Erosion

All soils are prone to erosion, but sandy soil is particularly susceptible to being washed away. Even clay with a sand component of say 10% or more can suffer from erosion.

Saturation

This is particularly a problem in clay soils. Saturation creates a boglike suspension of the soil that causes it to lose virtually all of its bearing capacity. To a lesser degree, sand is affected by saturation because saturated sand may undergo a reduction in volume – particularly imported sand fill for bedding and blinding layers. However, this usually occurs as immediate settlement and should normally be the province of the builder.

Seasonal swelling and shrinkage of soil

All clays react to the presence of water by slowly absorbing it, making the soil increase in volume (see table below). The degree of increase varies considerably between different clays, as does the degree of decrease during the subsequent drying out caused by fair weather periods. Because of the low absorption and expulsion rate, this phenomenon will not usually be noticeable unless there are prolonged rainy or dry periods, usually of weeks or months, depending on the land and soil characteristics.

The swelling of soil creates an upward force on the footings of the building, and shrinkage creates subsidence that takes away the support needed by the footing to retain equilibrium.

Shear failure

This phenomenon occurs when the foundation soil does not have sufficient strength to support the weight of the footing. There are two major post-construction causes:

- Significant load increase.
- Reduction of lateral support of the soil under the footing due to erosion or excavation.
- In clay soil, shear failure can be caused by saturation of the soil adjacent to or under the footing.

GENERAL DEFINITIONS OF SITE CLASSES	
Class	Foundation
А	Most sand and rock sites with little or no ground movement from moisture changes
S	Slightly reactive clay sites with only slight ground movement from moisture changes
М	Moderately reactive clay or silt sites, which can experience moderate ground movement from moisture changes
Н	Highly reactive clay sites, which can experience high ground movement from moisture changes
Е	Extremely reactive sites, which can experience extreme ground movement from moisture changes
A to P	Filled sites
Р	Sites which include soft soils, such as soft clay or silt or loose sands; landslip; mine subsidence; collapsing soils; soils subject to erosion; reactive sites subject to abnormal moisture conditions or sites which cannot be classified otherwise

Tree root growth

Trees and shrubs that are allowed to grow in the vicinity of footings can cause foundation soil movement in two ways:

- · Roots that grow under footings may increase in cross-sectional size, exerting upward pressure on footings.
- Roots in the vicinity of footings will absorb much of the moisture in the foundation soil, causing shrinkage or subsidence.

Unevenness of Movement

The types of ground movement described above usually occur unevenly throughout the building's foundation soil. Settlement due to construction tends to be uneven because of:

- Differing compaction of foundation soil prior to construction.
- · Differing moisture content of foundation soil prior to construction.

Movement due to non-construction causes is usually more uneven still. Erosion can undermine a footing that traverses the flow or can create the conditions for shear failure by eroding soil adjacent to a footing that runs in the same direction as the flow.

Saturation of clay foundation soil may occur where subfloor walls create a dam that makes water pond. It can also occur wherever there is a source of water near footings in clay soil. This leads to a severe reduction in the strength of the soil which may create local shear failure

Seasonal swelling and shrinkage of clay soil affects the perimeter of the building first, then gradually spreads to the interior. The swelling process will usually begin at the uphill extreme of the building, or on the weather side where the land is flat. Swelling gradually reaches the interior soil as absorption continues. Shrinkage usually begins where the sun's heat is greatest.

Effects of Uneven Soil Movement on Structures

Erosion and saturation

Erosion removes the support from under footings, tending to create subsidence of the part of the structure under which it occurs. Brickwork walls will resist the stress created by this removal of support by bridging the gap or cantilevering until the bricks or the mortar bedding fail. Older masonry has little resistance. Evidence of failure varies according to circumstances and symptoms may include:

- Step cracking in the mortar beds in the body of the wall or above/below openings such as doors or windows.
- Vertical cracking in the bricks (usually but not necessarily in line with the vertical beds or perpends).

Isolated piers affected by erosion or saturation of foundations will eventually lose contact with the bearers they support and may tilt or fall over. The floors that have lost this support will become bouncy, sometimes rattling ornaments etc.

Seasonal swelling/shrinkage in clay

Swelling foundation soil due to rainy periods first lifts the most exposed extremities of the footing system, then the remainder of the perimeter footings while gradually permeating inside the building footprint to lift internal footings. This swelling first tends to create a dish effect, because the external footings are pushed higher than the internal ones.

The first noticeable symptom may be that the floor appears slightly dished. This is often accompanied by some doors binding on the floor or the door head, together with some cracking of cornice mitres. In buildings with timber flooring supported by bearers and joists, the floor can be bouncy. Externally there may be visible dishing of the hip or ridge lines.

As the moisture absorption process completes its journey to the innermost areas of the building, the internal footings will rise. If the spread of moisture is roughly even, it may be that the symptoms will temporarily disappear, but it is more likely that swelling will be uneven, creating a difference rather than a disappearance in symptoms. In buildings with timber flooring supported by bearers and joists, the isolated piers will rise more easily than the strip footings or piers under walls, creating noticeable doming of flooring.



As the weather pattern changes and the soil begins to dry out, the external footings will be first affected, beginning with the locations where the sun's effect is strongest. This has the effect of lowering the external footings. The doming is accentuated and cracking reduces or disappears where it occurred because of dishing, but other cracks open up. The roof lines may become convex.

Doming and dishing are also affected by weather in other ways. In areas where warm, wet summers and cooler dry winters prevail, water migration tends to be toward the interior and doming will be accentuated, whereas where summers are dry and winters are cold and wet, migration tends to be toward the exterior and the underlying propensity is toward dishing.

Movement caused by tree roots

In general, growing roots will exert an upward pressure on footings, whereas soil subject to drying because of tree or shrub roots will tend to remove support from under footings by inducing shrinkage.

Complications caused by the structure itself

Most forces that the soil causes to be exerted on structures are vertical - i.e. either up or down. However, because these forces are seldom spread evenly around the footings, and because the building resists uneven movement because of its rigidity, forces are exerted from one part of the building to another. The net result of all these forces is usually rotational. This resultant force often complicates the diagnosis because the visible symptoms do not simply reflect the original cause. A common symptom is binding of doors on the vertical member of the frame.

Effects on full masonry structures

Brickwork will resist cracking where it can. It will attempt to span areas that lose support because of subsided foundations or raised points. It is therefore usual to see cracking at weak points, such as openings for windows or doors.

In the event of construction settlement, cracking will usually remain unchanged after the process of settlement has ceased.

With local shear or erosion, cracking will usually continue to develop until the original cause has been remedied, or until the subsidence has completely neutralised the affected portion of footing and the structure has stabilised on other footings that remain effective.

In the case of swell/shrink effects, the brickwork will in some cases return to its original position after completion of a cycle, however it is more likely that the rotational effect will not be exactly reversed, and it is also usual that brickwork will settle in its new position and will resist the forces trying to return it to its original position. This means that in a case where swelling takes place after construction and cracking occurs, the cracking is likely to at least partly remain after the shrink segment of the cycle is complete. Thus, each time the cycle is repeated, the likelihood is that the cracking will become wider until the sections of brickwork become virtually independent.

With repeated cycles, once the cracking is established, if there is no other complication, it is normal for the incidence of cracking to stabilise, as the building has the articulation it needs to cope with the problem. This is by no means always the case, however, and monitoring of cracks in walls and floors should always be treated seriously.

Upheaval caused by growth of tree roots under footings is not a simple vertical shear stress. There is a tendency for the root to also exert lateral forces that attempt to separate sections of brickwork after initial cracking has occurred.

Trees can cause shrinkage and damage

The normal structural arrangement is that the inner leaf of brickwork in the external walls and at least some of the internal walls (depending on the roof type) comprise the load-bearing structure on which any upper floors, ceilings and the roof are supported. In these cases, it is internally visible cracking that should be the main focus of attention, however there are a few examples of dwellings whose external leaf of masonry plays some supporting role, so this should be checked if there is any doubt. In any case, externally visible cracking is important as a guide to stresses on the structure generally, and it should also be remembered that the external walls must be capable of supporting themselves.

Effects on framed structures

Timber or steel framed buildings are less likely to exhibit cracking due to swell/shrink than masonry buildings because of their flexibility. Also, the doming/dishing effects tend to be lower because of the lighter weight of walls. The main risks to framed buildings are encountered because of the isolated pier footings used under walls. Where erosion or saturation cause a footing to fall away, this can double the span which a wall must bridge. This additional stress can create cracking in wall linings, particularly where there is a weak point in the structure caused by a door or window opening. It is, however, unlikely that framed structures will be so stressed as to suffer serious damage without first exhibiting some or all of the above symptoms for a considerable period. The same warning period should apply in the case of upheaval. It should be noted, however, that where framed buildings are supported by strip footings there is only one leaf of brickwork and therefore the externally visible walls are the supporting structure for the building. In this case, the subfloor masonry walls can be expected to behave as full brickwork walls.

Effects on brick veneer structures

Because the load-bearing structure of a brick veneer building is the frame that makes up the interior leaf of the external walls plus perhaps the internal walls, depending on the type of roof, the building can be expected to behave as a framed structure, except that the external masonry will behave in a similar way to the external leaf of a full masonry structure.

Water Service and Drainage

Where a water service pipe, a sewer or stormwater drainage pipe is in the vicinity of a building, a water leak can cause erosion, swelling or saturation of susceptible soil. Even a minuscule leak can be enough to saturate a clay foundation. A leaking tap near a building can have the same effect. In addition, trenches containing pipes can become watercourses even though backfilled, particularly where broken rubble is used as fill. Water that runs along these trenches can be responsible for serious erosion, interstrata seepage into subfloor areas and saturation.

Pipe leakage and trench water flows also encourage tree and shrub roots to the source of water, complicating and exacerbating the problem.

Poor roof plumbing can result in large volumes of rainwater being concentrated in a small area of soil:

 Incorrect falls in roof guttering may result in overflows, as may gutters blocked with leaves etc.

- Corroded guttering or downpipes can spill water to ground.
- Downpipes not positively connected to a proper stormwater collection system will direct a concentration of water to soil that is directly adjacent to footings, sometimes causing large-scale problems such as erosion, saturation and migration of water under the building.

Seriousness of Cracking

In general, most cracking found in masonry walls is a cosmetic nuisance only and can be kept in repair or even ignored. The table below is a reproduction of Table C1 of AS 2870.

AS 2870 also publishes figures relating to cracking in concrete floors, however because wall cracking will usually reach the critical point significantly earlier than cracking in slabs, this table is not reproduced here.

Prevention/Cure

Plumbing

Where building movement is caused by water service, roof plumbing, sewer or stormwater failure, the remedy is to repair the problem. It is prudent, however, to consider also rerouting pipes away from the building where possible, and relocating taps to positions where any leakage will not direct water to the building vicinity. Even where gully traps are present, there is sometimes sufficient spill to create erosion or saturation, particularly in modern installations using smaller diameter PVC fixtures. Indeed, some gully traps are not situated directly under the taps that are installed to charge them, with the result that water from the tap may enter the backfilled trench that houses the sewer piping. If the trench has been poorly backfilled, the water will either pond or flow along the bottom of the trench. As these trenches usually run alongside the footings and can be at a similar depth, it is not hard to see how any water that is thus directed into a trench can easily affect the foundation's ability to support footings or even gain entry to the subfloor area.

Ground drainage

In all soils there is the capacity for water to travel on the surface and below it. Surface water flows can be established by inspection during and after heavy or prolonged rain. If necessary, a grated drain system connected to the stormwater collection system is usually an easy solution.

It is, however, sometimes necessary when attempting to prevent water migration that testing be carried out to establish watertable height and subsoil water flows. This subject is referred to in BTF 19 and may properly be regarded as an area for an expert consultant.

Protection of the building perimeter

It is essential to remember that the soil that affects footings extends well beyond the actual building line. Watering of garden plants, shrubs and trees causes some of the most serious water problems.

For this reason, particularly where problems exist or are likely to occur, it is recommended that an apron of paving be installed around as much of the building perimeter as necessary. This paving

CLASSIFICATION OF DAMAGE WITH REFERENCE TO WALLS			
Description of typical damage and required repair	Approximate crack width limit (see Note 3)	Damage category	
Hairline cracks	<0.1 mm	0	
Fine cracks which do not need repair	<1 mm	1	
Cracks noticeable but easily filled. Doors and windows stick slightly	<5 mm	2	
Cracks can be repaired and possibly a small amount of wall will need to be replaced. Doors and windows stick. Service pipes can fracture. Weathertightness often impaired	5–15 mm (or a number of cracks 3 mm or more in one group)	3	
Extensive repair work involving breaking-out and replacing sections of walls, especially over doors and windows. Window and door frames distort. Walls lean or bulge noticeably, some loss of bearing in beams. Service pipes disrupted	15–25 mm but also depend on number of cracks	4	



should extend outwards a minimum of 900 mm (more in highly reactive soil) and should have a minimum fall away from the building of 1:60. The finished paving should be no less than 100 mm below brick vent bases.

It is prudent to relocate drainage pipes away from this paving, if possible, to avoid complications from future leakage. If this is not practical, earthenware pipes should be replaced by PVC and backfilling should be of the same soil type as the surrounding soil and compacted to the same density.

Except in areas where freezing of water is an issue, it is wise to remove taps in the building area and relocate them well away from the building – preferably not uphill from it (see BTF 19).

It may be desirable to install a grated drain at the outside edge of the paving on the uphill side of the building. If subsoil drainage is needed this can be installed under the surface drain.

Condensation

In buildings with a subfloor void such as where bearers and joists support flooring, insufficient ventilation creates ideal conditions for condensation, particularly where there is little clearance between the floor and the ground. Condensation adds to the moisture already present in the subfloor and significantly slows the process of drying out. Installation of an adequate subfloor ventilation system, either natural or mechanical, is desirable.

Warning: Although this Building Technology File deals with cracking in buildings, it should be said that subfloor moisture can result in the development of other problems, notably:

- Water that is transmitted into masonry, metal or timber building elements causes damage and/or decay to those elements.
- High subfloor humidity and moisture content create an ideal environment for various pests, including termites and spiders.
- Where high moisture levels are transmitted to the flooring and walls, an increase in the dust mite count can ensue within the living areas. Dust mites, as well as dampness in general, can be a health hazard to inhabitants, particularly those who are abnormally susceptible to respiratory ailments.

The garden

The ideal vegetation layout is to have lawn or plants that require only light watering immediately adjacent to the drainage or paving edge, then more demanding plants, shrubs and trees spread out in that order.

Overwatering due to misuse of automatic watering systems is a common cause of saturation and water migration under footings. If it is necessary to use these systems, it is important to remove garden beds to a completely safe distance from buildings.

Existing trees

Where a tree is causing a problem of soil drying or there is the existence or threat of upheaval of footings, if the offending roots are subsidiary and their removal will not significantly damage the tree, they should be severed and a concrete or metal barrier placed vertically in the soil to prevent future root growth in the direction of the building. If it is not possible to remove the relevant roots without damage to the tree, an application to remove the tree should be made to the local authority. A prudent plan is to transplant likely offenders before they become a problem.

Information on trees, plants and shrubs

State departments overseeing agriculture can give information regarding root patterns, volume of water needed and safe distance from buildings of most species. Botanic gardens are also sources of information. For information on plant roots and drains, see Building Technology File 17.

Excavation

Excavation around footings must be properly engineered. Soil supporting footings can only be safely excavated at an angle that allows the soil under the footing to remain stable. This angle is called the angle of repose (or friction) and varies significantly between soil types and conditions. Removal of soil within the angle of repose will cause subsidence.

Remediation

Where erosion has occurred that has washed away soil adjacent to footings, soil of the same classification should be introduced and compacted to the same density. Where footings have been undermined, augmentation or other specialist work may be required. Remediation of footings and foundations is generally the realm of a specialist consultant.

Where isolated footings rise and fall because of swell/shrink effect, the homeowner may be tempted to alleviate floor bounce by filling the gap that has appeared between the bearer and the pier with blocking. The danger here is that when the next swell segment of the cycle occurs, the extra blocking will push the floor up into an accentuated dome and may also cause local shear failure in the soil. If it is necessary to use blocking, it should be by a pair of fine wedges and monitoring should be carried out fortnightly.

This BTF was prepared by John Lewer FAIB, MIAMA, Partner, Construction Diagnosis.

The information in this and other issues in the series was derived from various sources and was believed to be correct when published.

The information is advisory. It is provided in good faith and not claimed to be an exhaustive treatment of the relevant subject.

Further professional advice needs to be obtained before taking any action based on the information provided.

Distributed by

CSIRO PUBLISHING PO Box 1139, Collingwood 3066, Australia Freecall 1800 645 051 Tel (03) 9662 7666 Fax (03) 9662 7555 www.publish.csiro.au Email: publishing.sales@csiro.au

© CSIRO 2003. Unauthorised copying of this Building Technology file is prohibited